

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/923,139		<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARANA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jonathan S. Crepeau		<b>Art Unit</b> 1746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(2) Bernard Pike.

**Date of Interview:** 22 April 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  
  

Claims discussed:

  
  

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner indicated that amendment filed on 3/22/05 was non-compliant because newly added claims were underlined. Mr. Pike agreed to fax a new claim set with the underlining removed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)